



Centar za građansko obrazovanje Centre for civic education

Podgorica, 11 November 2012.

## ANSWERS ARE NOT IN THE BULLETINS

Centre for Civic Education (CCE) rejects arbitrary statements of the President of the University of Montenegro's Managing Board (UoM's MB) Duško Bjelica. CCE underlines that so far it has never reacted inappropriate in its work, nor it has been attacking tendentiously UoM's AB and its President, but was always, in a lawful and professional manner, pointing out malfunctions of this high education institution and its bodies, believing that by doing so, it contributes to increasing the transparency and accountability of the UoM.

It is an undeniable fact that all decisions of the University of Montenegro can be found in the Bulletin of the UoM and thereto Bjelica revealed us nothing new. However, Bjelica deliberately ignores that we did not called him out by the media because of the information contained in the UoM's Bulletin nor was this the reason for our appeal to the Ministry of Education and Sports. We did this because there was no response within the statutory time to requests on free access to those information which are not in the University's bulletin.

We, for example, have not found in the Bulletin nor on the UoM's website an information containing the actions and measures taken regarding double employment of professors Srđan Vukadinović and Miloš Bešić at the UoM, after documentation, previously received by the Rector of the University of Montenegro on 21/10/2011 from NGO CEMI (nr. 283/11) indicating irregularities in hiring them, as well as whether any of these professors are still receiving a salary from the University of Montenegro, and if so, what is the legal basis for this. Furthermore, there can not be find any data on earnings on all grounds individually for each professor published by names, neither how high is the financial minus, which Rectorate of the UoM has as of 10/10/2012.

Avoidance of the UoM's authorities to submit decisions, which allow or deny any information which the public has right to know about, is what motivates us to pay attention to the analysis of the work of UoM's AB. Therefore, it would be more appropriate if Bjelica released all that information himself rather than referring to issues of others as inappropriate, and thus introducing double standards in the way that he thinks everybody should be accountable to him, and that he ought not to answer to anyone for anything.

Snežana Kaluđerović, Legal Advisor